

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/441,656	11/12/1999	NICHOLAS J. ELSEY	1631077-0028	4745
75	590 12/05/2002			
Alex L Yip Kaye Scholer Fierman Hays & Handler LLP 425 Park A Neuro			EXAMINER	
			AGDEPPA, HECTOR A	
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MU

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/441,656	ELSEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hector A. Agdeppa	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 S	<u>September 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 22-29,41-54 and 66-71 is/are pendin	g in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-29,41-54 and 66-71</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/12/99</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li><li>application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 22 – 25, 28, 41 - 50, 53, and 66 – 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication US 2002/0085702 (Cox et al.)

As to claims 22, 41, 47, and 66, Cox et al. teach a method and apparatus for providing directory assistance wherein an initiator of the communication connection is associated with various data elements as claimed, those elements being either ANI, area of the caller's origination, the caller's identity, etc. and is identified based on that data. Furthermore, depending on the type of service the caller desires, whether it be retrieval of a desired telephony number or the leaving of a message or the paging of an unreachable destination party, the caller's right to access or have that particular service performed is first verified. If the caller is verified and has the authority to make the desired call, receive/use the desired information, the appropriate actions are taken. (Page 1, paragraphs 0004 – 0005 and 0008, Page 2, paragraphs 0012 – 0016, Page 3, paragraphs 0036, Page 4, paragraph 0044 – Page 7, paragraph 0072)

Art Unit: 2642

As to claims 23 – 25 and 48 – 50, Cox et al. teach a directory assistance means and method wherein the information sought by the caller is information concerning an individual such as a telephone number or address or even groups such as information regarding/coming from business directories as opposed to private individuals.

Moreover, inherent in Cox et al. or any other directory assistance system for that matter is reading the selected data element, otherwise there would be no other way to ascertain the data and present it to the caller or even simply to retrieve it, the data element must be read. (See above references to Cox et al.)

As to claims 28, 42 – 46, 53, and 67 – 71, of course the communications service would include a voice communications service as taught by Cox et al. The present invention as well as Cox et al. and most any other standard directory assistance means and method would have to include a communications service that included making a telephone connection. Simply getting access to the directory assistance system would entail having to use a voice communications system and having to make a telephone connection. Moreover, see Fig. 1 and Data servers 120a for example which are analogous to the claimed "database[s] includ[ing] a directory." As already discussed above, the invention of Cox et al. is a directory assistance system. As also discussed above, Cox et al. teach verifying the authority of a caller to access certain desired information and/or to have hose calls completed by the directory assistance system. (See above references to Cox et al.)

Art Unit: 2642

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 26, 27, 51, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application Publication US 2002/0085702 (Cox et al.) in view of US Pat 5,204,894 (Darden).

Cox et al. has been discussed above but does not teach explicitly the editing and deletion of directory information or simply a directory although Cox et al. does teach a caller/subscriber being able to provision certain preferences.

However, Darden teaches a personal electronic directory allowing or the creation, editing, deletion, and general manipulation of one's personal directory.

Including such flexibility in the system of Cox et al. would be obvious to one skilled in the art inasmuch as Cox et al. already teach being able to access different types of

Art Unit: 2642

directories and databases. Including a personal, editable directory would simply be extending the invention of Cox et al. to access just another type of directory and giving the caller/subscriber more authority. Again, because Cox et al. already teach making certain features of the system programmable/customizable to a specific caller/subscriber, such would be obvious to one skilled in the art. Lastly, the trend of telecommunications has always been and continues to be one which gives the personal user/caller/subscriber more flexibility with their own services, to give them more control. Such reasoning too would make it obvious to combine the teachings of Cox et al. and Darden. (Abstract, Col. 2, lines 39 – Col. 3, line 50, Col. 5, line 8 – Col. 10, line 26 of Darden)

Claims 29 and 54 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application Publication US 2002/0085702 (Cox et al.) in view of US Pat 6,404,884 (Marwell et al.)

Cox et al. has been discussed above, but Cox et al. does not discuss the initiator establishing an internet connection. However, telephony and the internet are well known to now be blended in this and many other types of art. Telephone calls can be made via the internet, call centers may be accessed using both a computer/internet and a standard POTS telephone. Inasmuch as this is the case, it would have been very obvious for one skilled in the art to have allowed access to the claimed present system via the internet. Many services operate this way presently as it just is another way of accessing the service and nothing more. Moreover, Marwell et al. teach accessing and manipulating a personal contact list, which is analogous to the above-discussed

Art Unit: 2642

personal directory, via the web. (Abstract, Figs. 5 – 13, Col. 5, line 9 – 24 of Marwell et

al.)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hector A. Agdeppa whose telephone number is 703-

305-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Fri 9:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on 703-305-4731. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314

for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4700.

H.A.A.

November 27, 2002

MAMAD MATAR

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**